arXiv:math-ph/0208015v2 12 Jan 2004

A unified formal approach to weak and strong coupling expansions.

Benoît Bellet

February 7, 2008

Abstract

The main issue of this work consists in extracting one or several finite values for the sum of series involved in perturbation theories. It is supposed to work for all cases in which two physical parameters are involved, and makes thorough use of dimensional arguments concerning these physical quantities. Weak and strong coupling expansions are considered as the two faces of a formal expression which is the central object of this method. This so-called *extension* procedure is systematic. We apply it here to the divergent perturbative expansion of the ground state energy of the anharmonic oscillator of quantum mechanics in zero and one dimension, and provide, given a p-order divergent expansion, an analytical expression of its estimated sum. This method which is inspired by variational procedures provides high degree of accuracy from lower orders of perturbation and seems to have remarkable converge properties in a wide class of expansions concerning physical observables.

I. Introduction

The problem of divergent series has long time been a big concern, especially for physicists dealing with perturbative methods. In many cases, the divergence of a perturbative expansion arises when the solution of the free part of an equation is too "remote" from the exact solution. The choice of this free part is theoretically quite open but is actually restrained by the computability of the free solution, together with its perturbative corrections.

When the series converges, the accuracy on the result only depends on our ability to compute high order perturbation corrections. Moreover, the convergence of the series and the fact that the successive approximations tend to the right result, might be guaranteed by mathematical theorems.

When the series diverges, the problem faced by the physicists is one of dealing with an apparently worthless result (the truncated series), despite the fact that physical arguments might ensure us that this series actually corresponds to a known finite number. The scientific literature is rich of various methods to treat this situation: padé approximants [1], variational methods [2, 3, 4], delta expansion [5, 6] with principle of minimal sensitivity [7] or multiple scale analysis [8].

When facing the situation, one can note the following points:

1. While dealing with a physical problem, quantities with physical dimensions are involved, and as a perturbative series expands in powers of a dimensionless parameter, there is a first constraint on the way physical quantities enter this dimensionless parameter. There is also a second constraint regarding the dimension of the physical quantity expanded itself.

2. When approximating a physical quantity in powers of the coupling constant, we sometimes know a strong coupling expansion (in negative powers

of the coupling constant) to exist, even if it is not computable.

These two points are central in the way I propose to deal with a class of physical problems involving two physical parameters. The existence of only two physical parameters leads to a unique way to build up a dimensionless parameter g (say, the coupling constant).

In the cases where the expression of a physical quantity in term of the physical parameters is not exactly solvable, we expect two different expansions of this physical quantity in powers of the coupling constant to exist, at least formally: the weak coupling expansion (in positive powers of g) and the strong coupling expansion (in negative powers of g). Standard perturbative method can give us access to the weak coupling expansion up to a certain order p. The case we are interested in in this paper is the one when this expansion is divergent. The necessary background for our original systematic method to work can now be stated the following way:
the existence of a weak coupling expansion of E,

$$E(g) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_N \, g^N, \tag{1}$$

and of a strong coupling expansion of E,

$$\widetilde{E}(g) = g^{-\alpha/\delta} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{E}_N \, g^{-\gamma N/\delta}, \tag{2}$$

where E is a dimensionless function of the searched physical quantity. The parameters γ, α and δ are crucial ingredients in the correct writing of the srong coupling expansion. Their values usually be obtained analysing the equation obeyed by the E (see Simon [9] for such a study on the energy of the anharmonic oscillator of quantum mechanics). For our method to work on the calculation of E , we thus need to know the following values:

- the E_N coefficients up to a certain order p.
- γ, α and δ designed to be the smallest possible triplet of integers to satisfying eq.(2). In the following, this triplet of integer values will be called the *signature* of $E(g)$.

A good deal of literature has been devoted to variationnal methods using principle of minimal sensitivity, which happen to be quite efficient [3]. Some other attempts to deal with divergent series through variationnal methods, making clever use of the knowledge of the existence of a strong coupling expansion, were successful [10]. The new method we introduce is original in the way it considers weak and strong coupling expansions as two faces of a single object called an *extension*. Making use of the formal properties of *extensions*, one can obtain a non-perurbative analytical estimate of E in term of the coupling constant g, given its signature and a certain amount of coefficients of its divergent expansion. Note that the method will also work as an accelerator of convergence for convergent series.

The next section will introduce the extension method for the trivial case where the signature is *uniform* ($\gamma = \alpha = \delta = 1$) so that extensions write as expansions in all positive and negative integer powers of the coupling constant. The completion of the general method through the actual introduction of the notion of signature is performed in the third section.

The fourth section is devoted to the detailed application of the method to two specific cases. It is first experienced on the divergent expansion of the zero dimension anharmonic oscillator. The mathematical homogeneity of the integrated exponential function allows us to consider the integral itself as depending on two parameters with physical dimensions. The extension method will happen to reproduce the exact result from the first order of perturbation. As e second example, the method is applied to the one dimensional anharmonic oscillator of quantum mechanics in the calculation of the ground state energy. It will show a very remarkable agreement with the exact result for any value of the coupling constant.

II. Extensions of power series for uniform signatures

In the simple case where the signature is uniform ($\gamma = \alpha = \delta = 1$), the respective weak and strong coupling expansions of E in powers of a coupling constant g write:

$$E(g) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_N \, g^N \quad \text{and} \quad E(g) = g^{-1} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{E}_N \, g^{-N}.$$

We will consider these two expansion as parts of a single *extension.* To do so introduce such formal sums as:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_0 &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} Z^n \\
Z_1 &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n \, Z^n \\
\cdots &= \cdots \\
Z_i &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} Z^n \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

The manipulation of negative factorials is made algebraically coherent introducing $(-1)! = \Omega$. For all coefficients for which Ω do not simplify, one let it go to infinity as detailed at the beginning of the third part. When Z is a given complex value out of the unit circle, these formal double infinite series have the property to be convergent (resp. divergent) in the positive powers of Z (called its *right part*) and divergent (resp. convergent) in the negative powers of Z (called its *left part*), depending on the modulus of Z.

Note that Z_i can be expressed in term of Z_0 using derivatives:

$$Z_i = \frac{1}{i!} Z^i (\frac{d}{dZ})^i \, Z_0 \ . \tag{3}$$

They also have the following formal property,

$$i \geq 0, \ \ (1-Z)^{i+1} \, Z_i \equiv 0 \ \ ,$$

from which one can logically expect the left expansion's sum (l) to be in some sense "opposite" to the right one (r). The latter expectation can be easily checked as long as one performs formal manipulations on power series without any consideration about their convergence: Let us define the linear applications r and l.

$$\begin{aligned} r(Z_i) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} \, Z^n \\ l(Z_i) &= \sum_{n=-1}^{-\infty} \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} \, Z^n . \end{aligned}$$

The expression $Z^i/(1-Z)^{i+1}$, when formally expanded in powers of Z, results in $r(Z_i)$, and when expanded in powers of $1/Z$, results in $-\,l(Z_i)$. We write:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} r(Z_i) & \sim & \dfrac{Z^i}{(1-Z)^{i+1}} \\ l(Z_i) & \sim & -\dfrac{Z^i}{(1-Z)^{i+1}} \ , \end{array}$$

making sense of our previous remark about $r(Z_i)$ and $l(Z_i)$ being 'opposite' to each other : $r(Z_i) \sim -\,l(Z_i)$.

In the following, we will combine elements as Z_i's to build a vector space. We hope any polynomial to have "natural extensions" in this vector space.

Definitions:

- Let Ξ^p be the C-vector space of all polynomials with a lower than p degree:

$$A \in \Xi^p \implies A = \sum_{n=0}^{p} A_n\, Z^n \text{ for } A_n \in \mathbb{C}$$

- Let Ξ_p be the C-vector space generated by extensions Z_i for i positive and lower than p:

$$V \in \Xi_p \implies V = \sum_{i=0}^{p} V^i\, Z_i \text{ for } V^i \in \mathbb{C}$$

II.1. Extensions of a polynomial

An element of Ξ_p will be considered as an extension of a p-order polynomial if its restriction to zero to p powers of Z identify to the given polynomial. We show now that this properly defined extension of a polynomial is unique.

Definition:

Let A and V be respective vectors of Ξ^p and Ξ_p, then V, once expanded as:

$$V = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} V_n \, Z^n \quad ,$$

is said to be *a p-order extension of* A if:

$$V_n = A_n \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq n \leq p \quad .$$

Moreover, if $V = \sum_{i=0}^{p} V^i \, Z_i$, from the definition of the Z_i's, we have:

$$V_n = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} V^i \quad .$$

We will now proceed to show that such a p-extension of a given polynomial is unique through the introduction of a specific linear mapping between Ξ^p and Ξ_p.

Theorem 1:

For given p, let φ and φ^* be the following linear mappings:

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \varphi : & \Xi^p & \longrightarrow & \Xi_p \\ & Z^n & \longmapsto & \sum_{i=n}^{p} \frac{i!}{n!(i-n)!} (-1)^{n-i} Z_i \\ \varphi^* : & \Xi_p & \longrightarrow & \Xi^p \\ & Z_i & \longmapsto & \sum_{n=i}^{p} \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} Z^n \quad . \end{array}$$

Then φ and φ^* are inverse linear mappings.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^*(\varphi(Z^n)) &= \textstyle\sum_{i=n}^{p} \frac{i!}{n!(i-n)!}(-1)^{n-i}\varphi^*(Z_i) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i=n}^{p} \sum_{k=i}^{p} \frac{k!}{n!(i-n)!(k-i)!}(-1)^{n-i} Z^k\end{aligned}$$

Using the following identity for $k \leq p$, where δ is the Kroeneker symbol:

$$\sum_{i=n}^{p} \frac{1}{(i-n)!(k-i)!}(-1)^{n-i} = \delta_{n,k} \ ,$$

we prove that for $0 \leq n \leq p$ and $0 \leq i \leq p$:

$$\varphi^*(\varphi(Z^n)) = Z^n \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\varphi^*(Z_i)) = Z_i \ .$$

>From the existence of the reversible mapping φ between Ξ^p and Ξ_p and the fact that $\{Z^n\}_{0\leq n\leq p}$ is a base of Ξ^p, it comes that $\{Z_i\}_{0\leq i\leq p}$ is a base of Ξ_p.

Theorem 2:

Let $A \in \Xi^p$, then $\varphi(A) \in \Xi_p$ is the only extension of A.

Proof:

Let us first recall that:

$$Z_i = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} Z^n$$

$$\varphi^*(Z_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} Z^n \ ,$$

such that by construction, for $0 \leq i \leq p$, Z_i is a p-order extension of $\varphi^*(Z_i)$.

If now $A = \sum_{n=0}^{p} A_n \, Z^n$, one can write $\varphi(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} A^i \, Z_i$ where:

$$A^i = \sum_{n=0}^{i} \frac{i!}{n!(i-n)!} (-1)^{n-i} A_n \quad . \tag{4}$$

>From the reversibility of φ, one has $\varphi(\varphi^*(A)) = A$, and we can establish that:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{p} A^i \, \varphi^*(Z_i) \\ \varphi(A) &= \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{p} A^i \, Z_i \quad . \end{aligned}$$

As Z_i is an extension of $\varphi^*(Z_i)$, it follows that $\varphi(A)$ is an extension of A for any $A \in \Xi^p$. The reversibility of φ guarantees the unicity of this extension.

Example:

For $p = 2$, let us find the extension of the 2-degree polynomial:

$$A = 1 + 2Z + 3Z^2 \quad .$$

The coordinates of its second order extension are given by the relation (4):

$$\begin{aligned} A^0 &= A_0 &&= 1 \\ A^1 &= -A_0 + A_1 &&= 1 \\ A^2 &= A_0 - 2A_1 + A_2 &&= 0 \quad , \end{aligned}$$

so that:

- $\varphi(A) = A^0 \, Z_0 + A^1 \, Z_1$ is the extension of A; it also writes:

$$\varphi(A) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (n+1) \, Z^n \quad ,$$

and is actually a second order extension of A, as expected.

- $r(\varphi(A)) = r(Z_0) + r(Z_1) \sim 1/(1-Z) + Z/(1-Z)^2 = 1/(1-Z)^2$ is what one could reasonably expect as a sum for a divergent series behaving like A does for lower orders.

Remark:

This example illustrates an important case where the extension of a p-order polynomial (in Ξ^p) actually belongs to Ξ^{p-1}, that is $A^p = 0$

The latter remark will be of great use in the following introduction of a parameter in our method. It will also be verified as a test for the reliability of the method in the example of application given in the fourth section.

II.2. The introduction of a parameter: ω

We will show that the simple introduction of a complex parameter ω allows us to build as many Ξ_p vector spaces which means as many p-order extensions of a given polynomial as non vanishing values for ω. There is then no reason why restraining to the previous $\omega = 1$ case. At the end, the problem will just remain to find the most appropriate value of ω.

>From now, for a fixed ω, Ξ^p and Ξ_p will be redefined:

$$A \in \Xi^p \implies A = \sum_{n=0}^{p} A_n\, (\omega Z)^n \text{ for } \omega \in \mathbb{C}^* \text{ and } A_n \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$V \in \Xi_p \implies V = \sum_{i=0}^{p} V^i\, (\omega Z)_i \text{ for } \omega \in \mathbb{C}^* \text{ and } V^i \in \mathbb{C}$$

where $(\omega Z)^n = \omega^n \, Z^n$, and $(\omega Z)_i$ is defined as follows,

$$(\omega Z)_i \quad = \quad \textstyle\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} (\omega Z)^n$$

φ now acts on vectors as $(\omega Z)^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \qquad \Xi^p \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \Xi_p \\ (\omega Z)^n \quad &\longmapsto \quad \textstyle\sum_{i=n}^{p} \frac{i!}{n!(i-n)!} (-1)^{n-i} \, (\omega Z)_i \end{aligned}$$

Theorems 1 and 2 still hold as long as ω does not vanish and the method now produces an extension $\varphi(A)$ of A, depending on the value of ω:

$$A = \sum_{n=0}^{p} A_n \, Z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{A_n}{\omega^n} \, (\omega Z)^n \quad ,$$

$$\varphi(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{A_n}{\omega^n} \, \varphi((\omega Z)^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} A^i(\omega) \, (\omega Z)_i \quad ,$$

where

$$A^i(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{i} \frac{i!}{n!(i-n)!} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{A_n}{\omega^n} \quad .$$

When trying to extend a given p-degree polynomial A, one now has to deal with as many extensions as possible values for ω. The last remark of the preceding section suggests that we could use this freedom on the value of the parameter ω to make $A^p(\omega)$ vanish so that we could just keep p-order extensions of A that lie in Ξ_{p-1}. $A^p(\omega)$ being a p-degree polynomial in ω, one expects ω to take at most p values ($A^p(\omega) = 0$) so that a vector of Ξ^p will have at most p different extensions. If ϖ is a root of $A^p(\omega)$, it solves:

$$A^p(\varpi) = \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{p!}{n!(p-n)!}(-1)^{n-p}\,\frac{A_n}{\varpi^n} = 0 \ , \tag{5}$$

and the associated extension writes:

$$\varphi(A) \ = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} A^i(\varpi)\,(\varpi Z)_i \ . \tag{6}$$

The problem now remains to choose from all these extensions.

II.3. A criterion for the choice: $\omega = \varpi$

The aim of this work is, given a divergent series up to order p, to obtain an estimation of its complete sum. Let us start with the method applied to the $(p-1)$-order. In order to establish a convenient criterion for the choice of the "better" ω between the roots of $A^{p-1}(\omega)$, we will look for the one which makes the better prediction for the forthcoming term of the series: A_p.

To do so, let us suppose that $A^{p-1}(\omega)$ and $A^p(\omega)$ have a common root ϖ, the following properties come:

- From the equation (6), the ϖ-associated $(p-1)$-order and p-order extensions identify. As a consequence, the forthcoming term predicted by the $(p-1)$-order extension is the exact value A_p.
- From the definition (5) of $A^p(\omega)$, one can establish the following relation:

$$\frac{d}{d\omega}(\omega^p\,A^p(\omega)) = -p\,\omega^{p-1}\,A^{p-1}(\omega) \ ,$$

so that if ϖ is a common root of $A^{p-1}(\omega)$ and $A^p(\omega)$, it has to be a double root of $A^p(\omega)$.

The goal is to achieve the best estimate of the complete sum of the series through its extension at a finite order. It seems natural to be interested in the case where two successive extensions (orders $p-1$ and p) are equal, thus at order p, double roots are our best candidate for the choice of ϖ. In the absence of double roots, we decide to look for the closest couple of roots - in terms of distance in the complex plane - and select one of these. In doing so, we expect our selected root to be also close to a root of the previous estimate. As a consequence, these two successive extensions are hoped to be close to each other. The applications of the method in section IV will corroborate, through numerical observations, these general remarks.

III. Signature of series in physics

For the moment, to keep reasonable, one has to expect most of the series encountered in physics not to fit in this frame in the sense that the predictions for the forthcoming terms of a development are far from the right one (and that the roots are far from each other). Let us consider for example such a “misfit” expansion:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{1}{(n/2)!} Z^n \ ,$$

which expected large order behaviour can obviously not be found as a combination of the Z_i’s as defined. The fact that forthcoming terms of such a series will be very badly predicted by our method can also be pointed out when noticing that an extension of this truncated series has to vanish for even and negative values of n (as factorials of negative integers diverge). The Z_i’s as defined can obviously not satisfy such a condition. This section will take into account this kind of difficulty (now to be considered as a clue) when introducing the notion of signature relying on the knowledge we have of the form of the strong coupling expansion.

Given a finite series of complex numbers, we now have at our disposal a method which permits to extend it infinitely together in positive and negative powers of the coupling constant Z. Moreover, the right and left parts of this extension can be summed formally. Some of the series we are interested in are characterized by cancellations of an infinite set of coefficients (e.g. $A_n = 0$ for n even and negative. We shall later concentrate on how the occurrence of the vanishing coefficients is in related to the form of the strong coupling expansion. Since no combination of the Z_i's can reproduce this kind of behaviour, we ask ourselves now what to do then when we know the expected series to have such a typical property? In this paper, we shall consider such cases where the occurrence of vanishing terms is regular. Let us first consider the following double infinite series where by construction all even and negative powers of the coupling constant do vanish:

$$T = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T_n \, Z^n \quad \text{where} \quad T_n = \frac{(-1-n)!((n-1)/2)!}{(-1)!} \tag{7}$$

As previously mentioned, it will be of great use to reconsider the definitions of the factorials in order to make sense with expressions as $(-2)!/(-1)! = -1$:

Definition:

- $(n-1)!\, n = n!$ for $n \in \mathbb{Q}^*$,
- $(-1)! = \Omega$ is infinitely large,
- $n! = \Gamma(n+1)$ for $n \in [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

The following properties come:

- $n!\,(-1-n)!\,\sin(n\pi) = \pi \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad n \notin \mathbb{Z}$,
- $n!\,(-1-n)!\,(-1)^n = \Omega \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using these properties, the only non-vanishing terms of T are:

$$T_N = \frac{((N-1)/2)!}{N!}(-1)^N \quad \text{and} \quad T_{-1-2N} = \frac{(2N)!}{N!}(-1)^N \quad \text{for} \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

When looking at the left part of T, these cancellations are typical of what has been called the signature of T, as it points out all the vanishing terms of the expansion it corresponds to.

Definitions:

- If T is such that its non-vanishing terms are $T_{\delta N}$ and $T_{-\alpha-\gamma N}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then it will be said to have a $\gamma|\alpha|\delta$-*signature*. A typical example of this signature is provided by:

$$T_n = \frac{(-1-\frac{n}{\delta})!(-1+\frac{\alpha+n}{\gamma})!}{\Omega} \quad .$$

For a given signature, this T will be called its associated *transformation*.
As a matter of example, the above series (7) has a $2|1|1$-signature and the $1|1|1$-signature considered in the preceding section was simply called uniform.

- Let us now define the multiplication $T * A$:

$$A = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n\, Z^n \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; T * A = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T_n\, A_n\, Z^n$$

then, if A has a uniform signature, $T * A$ will have a $\gamma|\alpha|\delta$-signature through the action of the transformation T.

- If B is an extension of A, then $T * B$ is a T-*extension* of $T * A$.

III.1. The case of non-uniform signatures

As it is formatted to give rise to extensions with uniform signatures, the method developed in the preceding section obviously needs a few adjustment to fit the cases where the signature is known not to be uniform. Suppose we wish to extend a polynomial B known to be associated to a non-uniform signature. The method now consists in "removing" its signature multiplying it by T^{-1}, and let it be extended (through the action of φ) into an extension with uniform signature. Then, we just have to put back the proper signature multiplying the result by the associated transformation T. We thus make sure that the T-extension obtained has the expected signature (respecting the expected vanishing terms), and is actually a T-extension of the original polynomial.

If B is associated to a $\gamma|\alpha|\delta$-signature, then it expands in powers of Z^{δ} in the weak coupling limit and writes up to order p:

$$B = \sum_{n=0}^{p} B_{\delta n}\, Z^{\delta n}$$

Where the connection with equation (1) is obtained replacing g with Z^{δ}. B can then be rewritten $T * (T^{-1} * B)$ where $T^{-1} * B$ can be associated to a uniform signature:

$$T^{-1} * B = \sum_{n=0}^{p} T^{-1}_{\delta n}\, B_{\delta n}\, Z^{\delta n}$$

The extension of $T^{-1} * B$ can now be found using the method of the second section. $\varphi(T^{-1} * B)$, its extension, will have a uniform signature. The latter product with T ensures us to recover the proper $\gamma|\alpha|\delta$-signature for the T-

extension of B:

$$T * \varphi(T^{-1} * B) \quad \text{is a } T - \text{extension of} \quad B$$

Definition:

In order to achieve the previous manipulation φ and φ^* have to be formally updated in replacing Z by Z^δ in their definitions so that they remain inverse mappings. The formal expressions then arising are made explicit as follows:

$$(Z^\delta)^n = Z^{\delta n} \text{ and } (Z^\delta)_i = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(n/\delta)!}{i!\,(n/\delta - i)!}\, Z^n$$

Using this procedure, we can now obtain the unique extension of any polynomial given in addition to its coefficients, its non-uniform signature.

Our goal is at the end to obtain a non-perturbative expression corresponding to the physical quantity corresponding to B up to a finite order. To do so, we just have to calculate the sum whether of the right part or of the left part of an extension with a non-uniform signature. Both these sums will reveal to equate up to a simple multiplicative term. If one of them is convergent, the other is not and we will have to consider this second one as formal.

III.2. Summation

In the general case of a $\gamma|\alpha|\delta$-signature, left and right parts of a T-extension $\overline{B}$ of B write:

$$r(\overline{B}) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \overline{B}_{\delta N}\, Z^{\delta N} \text{ and } l(\overline{B}) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \overline{B}_{-\alpha-\gamma N}\, Z^{-\alpha-\gamma N}$$

The p-order T-extension $\overline{B}$ writes $T * A$ where T is the transformation associated to its signature, and $A = \varphi(T^{-1} * B)$ is a linear combination of vectors $((\omega Z)^{\delta})_i$:

$$T_n = \frac{(-1 - \frac{n}{\delta})!(-1 + \frac{\alpha+n}{\gamma})!}{\Omega}$$

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} A^i(\omega)\,((\omega Z)^{\delta})_i \;\text{ where }\; \omega\,\text{satisfies}\, A^p(\omega) = 0$$

Now recall from equation (3) a useful relation between extensions:

$$((\omega Z)^{\delta})_i = \frac{1}{i!} Z^{\delta i} (\frac{d}{dZ^{\delta}})^i \,((\omega Z)^{\delta})_0 \tag{8}$$

This property suggests to rewrite $T * A$ as:

$$T * A = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} A^i(\omega) \frac{1}{i!} Z^{\delta i} (\frac{d}{dZ^{\delta}})^i \;\left[T * ((\omega Z)^{\delta})_0\right] \tag{9}$$

Then, from the sums of $T * ((\omega Z)^{\delta})_0$, the sums of $T * A$ will follow for any extension A. But as $(Z^{\delta})_0 = Z_0$ and $T * Z_0 = T$, we simply have to sum the left and right parts of T.

The summation of T :

$$l(T) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} T_{-\alpha-\gamma N}\, Z^{-\alpha-\gamma N} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-1)^N \frac{(-1+(\alpha+\gamma N)/\delta)!}{N!}\, Z^{-\alpha-\gamma N}$$

$$r(T) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} T_{\delta N}\, Z^{\delta N} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} (-1)^N \frac{(-1+(\alpha+\delta N)/\gamma)!}{N!} Z^{\delta N}$$

Let us now introduce integral expressions for fractional factorials where N and

ν are respectively positive and non zero positive integers:

$$(N/\nu)! = \nu \int_0^\infty dt\, t^{\nu-1}\, e^{-t^\nu}\, t^N \ \ .$$

If we accept to permute the sum and the integral without wondering whether they diverge or not, left and right parts of T can be formally summed:

$$l(T) \sim \delta \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} e^{-(t/Z)^\gamma - t^\delta} (t/Z)^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad r(T) \sim \gamma \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} e^{-t^\gamma - (Zt)^\delta} t^\alpha$$

The link between left and right parts of T comes from a simple change of variable in the integral:

$$\gamma\, l(T) \ \sim\ \delta\, r(T). \tag{10}$$

The analytical properties of l and r as functions of Z are the one of multi-valued functions. It has also to be noted that, depending on the values of γ, α and δ, the integral expression does not always make sense as conditions on its existence might not be satisfied. Nevertheless, as γ and δ are positive integers, only a strictly negative value for α can make the integral diverge around $t = 0$. If this situation occurs, then the first terms of the expansion $l(T)$ and $r(T)$ (in respective powers of $Z^{-\gamma}$ and Z^δ) will not be incorporated in the integral expression. As only a finite number of terms are concerned, this will be a complication but not an obstacle to the summing of the series. The application of the method given in the fourth section will illustrate this situation.

The summation of $T * A$

The summed expression for $r(T*A)$ is now from (9):

$$\begin{aligned} r(T*A) \quad &\sim \quad \gamma \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} A^i(\omega)\frac{1}{i!}Z^{\delta i}(\frac{d}{dZ^\delta})^i \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t}e^{-t^\gamma-(\omega Z t)^\delta}t^\alpha \\ &\sim \quad \gamma \textstyle\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t}e^{-(t/\omega Z)^\gamma - t^\delta}(t/\omega Z)^\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} A^i(\omega)\frac{1}{i!}(-t^\delta)^i \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where one has successively performed all the derivatives and then a change of variable. The first expression has, by construction, the correct expansion in powers of Z^δ up to order p. The relation (10) between left and right parts of $T*A$ still holds as l and r are linear mappings:

$$\gamma\, l(T*A) \sim \delta\, r(T*A)$$

The formal manipulation performed to obtain the second expression of (11) is such that its expansions around $Z \sim \infty$ and $Z = 0$ will respectively identify to $\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\, l(T*A)$ and $r(T*A)$. This last point is crucial in the way it it guarantees that the form of the left and right parts (the strong and weak coupling expansions) will be properly recovered.

The appendix will concatenate the step by step expansion procedure to get an extension and a sum from the knowledge of a p-order series and its signature. The next section will illustrate the method on the divergent perturbative series of quantum mechanics in zero and one dimension.

IV. Applications of the extension method

The extension method will now be applied to the case of the zero dimensional anharmonic oscillator, which happens to be a simple integral. It will then be tested on the calculation of the ground state energy of the quantum mechanics anharmonic oscillator. These applications will follow the extension procedure as it is concatenated in the appendix (the signature, the extension and the sum).

IV.1. The zero dimension anharmonic oscillator

We consider the following integral:

$$E(m,\lambda) = \sqrt{2}\int_0^\infty dx\; e^{-mx^2/2\,-\lambda x^4/4},$$

which, when expanded in powers of λ/m^2 gives rise to a divergent series.

The Signature:

A dimensional analysis on the base of the zero-degree homogeneity of the exponential function gives:

$$[E] \;=\; [x] \;=\; [m^{-1/2}] \;=\; [\lambda^{-1/4}],$$

where m and λ are to be considered as the two parameters with a physical dimension. Then E will expand both in powers of λ/m^2 in the weak coupling limit, and in powers of $(\lambda/m^2)^{-1/4}$ in the strong coupling limit:

$$E(\lambda) = m^{-1/2}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_N\,(\frac{\lambda}{m^2})^N,$$

$$\widetilde{E}(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1/4}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{E}_N\,(\frac{m}{\lambda^{1/2}})^N.$$

Note that $E(\lambda)$ is divergent and $\widetilde{E}(\lambda)$ is convergent.

The signature in then given by ($\gamma = 2$, $\alpha = 1$, $\delta = 4$). Taking $\lambda/m^2 = Z^4$ and $m = 1$, right and left expansions of such an expression respectively rewrite as:

$$E = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_N\, Z^{4N} \;\text{ and }\; \widetilde{E} = Z^{-1}\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{E}_N\, Z^{-2N}\;,$$

exhibiting a 2|1|4-signature.

Given the first coefficients of the right expansion, let us apply the extension procedure to the first order of this divergent series (now called B):

$$B = B_0 + B_4 Z^4 + \ldots$$

where

$$B_0 = \sqrt{2} \int_0^{\infty} dx \; e^{-mx^2/2} = \sqrt{\pi}$$

and

$$B_4 = -\sqrt{2} \int_0^{\infty} dx \; e^{-mx^2/2} \; \frac{x^4}{4} = -\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\pi}.$$

We now need the transformation associated to the 2|1|4-signature:

$$T_n == \frac{(-1 - \frac{n}{4})!(-1 + \frac{1+n}{2})!}{\Omega},$$

such that:

$$T_0 = \sqrt{\pi} \text{ and } T_4 = -\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\pi}.$$

The first two coefficients of the uniform expansion (freed from its signature) to be extended write:

$$A_0 = T_0^{-1} B_0 = 1 \text{ and } A_4 = T_4^{-1} B_4 = 1.$$

The Extension:

The parameter ω has to satisfy the equation:

$$A^1(\omega) = -1 + \frac{1}{\omega^4} \iff \omega^4 = 1$$

We have now all the necessary material to give an estimation of the whole sum, given its first two terms and its signature.

The Sum:

The first order estimation of the integral through the extension procedure is then:

$$2\int_0^\infty dt\frac{1}{Z}\ e^{-(t/Z)^2-t^4},$$

which also writes (taking $t=\sqrt{m}\,Z\,x/\sqrt{2}$ and $Z=(\lambda/m^2)^{1/4}$):

$$\sqrt{2}\int_0^\infty dx\ e^{-mx^2/2-\lambda x^4/4}.$$

This an exact result.

As a matter of comparison: in the case of the calculation of this integral, the usual variationnal method with principal of minimal sensitivity happens to provide a non-exact finite result from any of its p-order divergent expansion [2, 4] while the extension method gives the exact result from the first order of perturbation. It is now straightforward to notice that any integral of the form:

$$\int_0^\infty dx\ x^{\alpha-1}\ e^{-x^\gamma-Z^\delta x^\delta}$$

will also be exactly estimated form its first order of expansion in powers of Z^δ using the extension procedure. This class of integral can thus be considered as canonical regarding the extension method.

IV.2. The ground state energy of the anharmonic oscillator

The development of the vacuum energy E of the anharmonic oscillator of quantum mechanics is known to be divergent when expanded in powers of the coupling constant λ. Many papers tried with success to deal with this divergent series, sometimes with high level of accuracy and/or complexity [3, 7, 10, 11]. The Lagrangian of the model writes:

$$L(m^2, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t \Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \Phi^2 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda \Phi^4$$

A dimensional analysis of physical quantities gives:

$$[L] = 1 \ ; \ [t] = -1 \ ; \ [\Phi] = -1/2 \ ;$$

$$[m] = 1 \ ; \ [\lambda] = 3 \ ; \ [E] = 1 \ .$$

The vacuum energy for the free Lagrangian $E(m^2, \lambda \simeq 0)$ and its perturbative corrections in powers of λ are computable, but one can also imagine another perturbative expansion in powers of m^2 around the free Lagrangian $L(m^2 \simeq 0, \lambda)$. This latter strong coupling expansion, although proved to exist and be convergent [9], is not computable with the usual technique of perturbation as one cannot solve the free equation. Nevertheless, from dimensional analysis, we know that these two expansions respectively write:

$$E(\lambda) = m \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_N \, (\frac{\lambda}{m^3})^N$$

for the divergent expansion around $\lambda = 0$ and,

$$\widetilde{E}(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/3} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{E}_N \, (\frac{m^2}{\lambda^{2/3}})^N$$

for the convergent expansion around $m^2 = 0$ (or $\lambda \sim \infty$).

Without loosing any dimensional information, one can get rid of fractional powers writing $\lambda/m^3 = Z^3$ and $m = 1$, then:

$$E = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_N \, Z^{3N} \;\; \text{and} \;\; \widetilde{E} = Z \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{E}_N \, Z^{-2N}$$

Considering both these series as right (E) and left ($\widetilde{E}$) parts of the same object we can recognize an extension with $2|-1|3$-signature.

We can now use the extension procedure to sum the known divergent expansion of E to a finite order p on the grounds of a $2|-1|3$-signature ($\gamma = 2$, $\alpha = -1$, $\delta = 3$). The perturbative series of the ground state energy E to be extended will be called B. A systematic procedure computes the coefficients of the ground state energy (B_{3n}) [12]:

$$B = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{16} Z^3 - \frac{21}{128} Z^6 + \frac{333}{1024} Z^9 - \frac{30885}{32768} Z^{12} + \frac{916731}{262144} Z^{15} + \ldots$$

Left and right parts of its extensions are to be respective approximations of $\frac{3}{2}\widetilde{E}$ and E.

IV.2.1. The choice of the "best" root

The search for a p-order T-extension goes through the choice between p roots of $A^p(\omega)$: ω_1, ω_2, $..$, ω_p . The discussion of the section II.3 suggests to consider the root which is as close as possible to another one of the same order (a similar comparison with the preceding order roots reveals to be equivalent). In order to measure this property of a given root ω_i to be close to one or several other roots of the same order, we introduce its *weight*:

$$weight(\omega_i) = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(\omega_j - \omega_i)^2}$$

The following array will give the roots of $A^p(\omega)$ for $p = 2$, 3, 4, and 5 together with their weights (in brackets):

p	$\omega_i\,(weight)$		
2	$\underline{1.062}\,(191)$	$1.135\,(191)$	
3	$\underline{1.053 \pm 0.061i}\,(113)$	$1.189\,(90)$	
4	$1.043 \pm 0.102i\,(135)$	$\underline{1.071}\,(216)$	$1.236\,(79)$
5	$1.034 \pm 0.14i\,(135)$	$\underline{1.073 \pm 0.033i}\,(355)$	$1.279\,(71)$

For every order, the root associated to the biggest weight are underlined (the choice for the underlined root of the second order is arbitrary as both of them have the same weight).

As $A^p(\omega)$ is in fact a polynomial in powers of $1/\omega^3$, it has p triplets of roots. is why we decided to consider only one root in each triplet: the one lying around the real axis. This choice will not affect the right part of the extension.

In order to test our selected roots, a similar array will show the related estimations for the forthcoming term of the series (as the p-order T-extension provides an estimation for the known value of B_{3p+3}). The estimations associated to the chosen roots will be underlined and the last column will show the exact value:

p	$\overline{B}_{3p+3}\,(est.)$			$B_{3p+3}\,(exact)$
2	$\underline{0.3223}$	0.3211		0.3252
3	$\underline{-0.9411 \pm 0.0035}$	-0.9552		-0.9425
4	3.5034 ± 0.008	$\underline{3.4948}$	3.4269	3.4970
5	-15.6620 ± 0.0049	$\underline{-15.6165 \pm 0.0034}$	-16.2018	-15.6208

The relative precision on the results in the complex plane for the chosen roots for respective orders $p = 2$ to 5 are $9.\,10^{-3}$, $4.\,10^{-3}$, $6.\,10^{-4}$ and $3.\,10^{-4}$

As far as I know, the criterion governing the choice for the best root still works systematically for higher orders of perturbations.

IV.2.2. The vacuum energy in the strong coupling limit

The vacuum energy of the massless theory identifies to its strong coupling limit: $\lambda^{1/3}\widetilde{E}_0$ where $\widetilde{E}_0$ is approximated by $\frac{2}{3}\overline{B}_1$. One can verify that the choice for the best root made earlier still holds. The following array shows the estimated values and their relative precisions compared to a known value [11] of $\widetilde{E}_0$ for order $p = 1$ to 5:

p	$\frac{2}{3}\overline{B}_1$	
1	0.420139	$(2.\,10^{-3})$
2	0.4205216	$(1.\,10^{-3})$
3	0.4208109 ± 0.0000952	$(2.\,10^{-4})$
4	0.4207976	$(2.\,10^{-5})$
5	$0.4208087 \pm 0.0000033i$	$(1.\,10^{-5})$
$\widetilde{E}_0$	0.4208049	(exact)

The accuracy obtained for the first order (where only the two first terms of B are involved) is remarkably high (0.2%) and increases with the order of perturbation. We could also check that the choices for the best roots systematically hold for all the coefficients $\overline{B}_n$, and also for the sum itself.

IV.2.3. The summation for finite coupling

We also dispose of an analytical expression of the vacuum energy in the case of a finite coupling (for any value of $\lambda = Z^3$ and $m = 1$). In order to compute numerically this integral, the zeroth order term in powers of Z^3 of the integrant of the right sum (which we know to correspond to 1/2) will have to be extracted from the integral as it is not summable around $t = 0$. As an example, we give the analytical expression of the first order estimation for $E(\lambda)$:

$$E(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{2} + 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2}\, e^{-t^2}(e^{-\lambda(\varpi t)^3} - 1)\frac{-1}{4\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

where $\varpi \simeq 1.09954$.

The following arrays show an estimation of $E(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = 2$, 4 and 8 to be compared with the exact value [10, 11]. The corresponding relative precisions are in brackets.

p	$\lambda = 2$	
1	0.6957043	$(7.\,10^{-4})$
2	0.6950365	$(2.\,10^{-4})$
3	$0.6951801 \pm 0.0000363i$	$(6.\,10^{-5})$
4	0.6961732	$(3.\,10^{-6})$
5	$0.6961768 \pm 0.0000008i$	$(1.\,10^{-6})$
$E(2)$	0.6961758	(exact)

p	$\lambda = 4$	
1	0.8030005	$(1.\,10^{-3})$
2	0.8035264	$(3.\,10^{-4})$
3	$0.8037776 \pm 0.0000698i$	$(8.\,10^{-5})$
4	0.8037655	$(6.\,10^{-5})$
5	$0.8037727 \pm 0.0000019i$	$(4.\,10^{-5})$
$E(4)$	0.8037707	(exact)

p	$\lambda = 8$	
1	0.9504142	$(1.\,10^{-3})$
2	0.9501856	$(4.\,10^{-4})$
3	$0.9505784 \pm 0.0001160i$	$(1.\,10^{-4})$
4	0.9515597	$(1.\,10^{-5})$
5	$0.9515723 \pm 0.0000034i$	$(5.\,10^{-6})$
$E(8)$	0.9515685	(exact)

As expected, the selected roots provide systematically the best approximations, which reveal to improve greatly with the order of perturbation.
One can also test successfully the procedure on other observables of the model, such as the mean value of $< \Phi^2 >$ or the excited states of energy. The same relative precisions is achieved in these calculations.

Eventually, one can reasonably expect the method to work as well for any x^{2N} potential in one dimension quantum mechanics.

V. Conclusion

This paper does not provide any proof of the convergence of the extension method despite the logic inherent to its construction. Nevertheless, in the case of the anharmonic oscillator, it reveals to have a highly predictive power for all positive values of the coupling constant. It also makes a crucial and automatic link between weak and strong couplings and provides an analytical expression for the sum of some divergent perturbative series.

Regarding the problems of divergences arising from quantum mechanics and more generally from domains of physics facing divergent expansions involving two physical parameters, the method exposed here, besides its simplicity, has the advantage to be systematic. It is also hoped to provide an excellent approximation of a physical quantity (for any value of the coupling constant) from the first perturbative correction. It opens perspectives for field theories where only lower orders of perturbative corrections (expected to be divergent) are available.

The power of the method obviously comes from our ability to take into account physical information about dimensional quantities. If we want to make it efficient for more complex models, or prove that other classes of series suits the method, we will have to extend it to models involving more than two physical parameters. To do so, we will manage to exhibit, in the same spirit as in this work, constraints arising from dimensional information. This will be the subject of a second part of this work to be published.

Aknowledgement

I want to thank Hugh F. Jones, François Molino and especially Philippe Garcia for his long time support and contributions to this work.

Appendix: the extension procedure

Given respective left an right forms of expansions:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{-\alpha-\gamma n}\, Z^{-\alpha-\gamma n} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{\delta n}\, Z^{\delta n}\,,$$

We now summarize the method developed in this paper to estimate the value to be associated to the known divergent truncated series:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p} B_{\delta n}\, Z^{\delta n}\,.$$

The Signature:

We are in the general case of a $\gamma|\alpha|\delta$-signature, the associated transformations is:

$$T_n = \frac{(-1-\frac{n}{\delta})!(-1+\frac{\alpha+n}{\gamma})!}{\Omega}\,.$$

The Expansion:

$$A_{\delta n} = T_{\delta n}^{-1}\, B_{\delta n} \quad 0 \le n \le p$$

$$A^i(\omega) = \textstyle\sum_{n=0}^{i} \frac{i!}{n!(i-n)!}(-1)^{n-i}\frac{A_{\delta n}}{\omega^{\delta n}} \quad 0 \le i \le p$$

$$A^p(\omega) = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \omega = \varpi$$

$$\overline{A}_n = \omega^n \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!} A^{\ddot{i}}(\varpi) \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\overline{B}_n = T_n\, \overline{A}_n \quad n \in Z$$

The Sum:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{-\alpha-\gamma n}\, Z^{-\alpha-\gamma n} \;\sim\; \gamma \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t}\, e^{-(t/\varpi Z)^{\gamma}-t^{\delta}} (t/\varpi Z)^{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} A^{i}(\varpi) \frac{1}{i!} (-t^{\delta})^{i} \;\; .$$

which can also be written:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{\delta n}\, Z^{\delta n} \;\sim\; \gamma \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t}\, e^{-t^{\gamma}-(\varpi Z t)^{\delta}} t^{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} A^{i}(\varpi) \frac{1}{i!} (-(\varpi Z t)^{\delta})^{i} \;\; .$$

The Weight:

Between $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, ..., \omega_p\}$ roots of $A^p(\omega)$, ϖ is the one with the biggest weight:

$$weight(\omega_i) = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(\omega_j - \omega_i)^2}$$

References

[1] J. J. Loeffel, A. Martin, B. Simon, A. S. Wightman, Phys. lett. **B 30**, 656 (1969).

[2] A. Neveu, Nucl. Phys. B, **18B**, 242 (1990).

[3] B. Bellet, P. Garcia, A. Neveu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 11**, 5587 (1996).

[4] R. Seznek, J. Zinn-Justin, J. Math. Phys. **20**(7), 1398 (1979).

[5] I. R. C. Buckley, A. Duncan, H. F. Jones Phys. Rev. **D47**, 2554 (1993).

[6] R. Guida, K. Konishi, H. Suzuki, Ann. Phys. **241**, 152 (1995).

[7] P. M. Stevenson Phys. Rev. **D 23**, 2916 (1981).

[8] C. M. Bender, L. M. A. Bettencourt, Phys. Rev. **D 54**, 7710 (1996).

[9] B. Simon, Ann. Phys. **58**, 76 (1970).

[10] W. Janke, H. Kleinert, Phys. Lett. **75**, 2787 (1995).

[11] F. Vinette, J. Cizek, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 3392, (1991).

[12] C. M. Bender, T. T. Wu, Phys. Rev. **184**, 1231 (1969).